In reply to Office Action dated: July 28, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Favorable consideration of this application as presently amended and in light of the

following remarks is respectfully requested.

Claims 3-7, 9-12, and 15 are presently active in this application, Claims 8 and 16-20

have been canceled without prejudice by the present amendment. Claims 1-2, 13, and 14

were previously canceled without prejudice.

In the Office Action, Claims 3-7, 9-12, and 15 were indicated as being allowed.

Claim 8 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parris et al (U.S.

Pat. No. 5,604,700) in view of Venkatassen et al (U.S. Pat. No. 5,736,435) and further in

view of Yu (U.S. Pat. No. 6,534,373).

The present amendment cancels rejected Claim 8 and withdrawn Claims 16-20,

leaving active only allowed Claims 3-7, 9-12, and 15. Accordingly, this amendment should

be entered under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116.

Consequently, in view of the present amendment and in light of the above

discussions, the outstanding grounds for rejection are believed to have been overcome. The

application as amended herewith is believed to be in condition for formal allowance. An

early and favorable action to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

a kudde

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220

(OSMMN 06/04)

Eckhard H. Kuesters

Attorney of Record

Registration No. 28,870

Ronald A.Rudder, Ph.D.

Registration No. 45,618

I:\ATTY\RAR\AMENDMENTS\219'S\219713US\AM-FINAL.DOC

5